

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA  
3 BEFORE THE HONORABLE CARLA BALDWIN CARRY, MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
4 ---o0o---

4 TESLA, INC., a Delaware :  
corporation, :  
5 :  
Plaintiff, : No. 3:18-cv-296-LRH-CBC  
6 :  
-vs- : February 6, 2019  
7 :  
MARTIN TRIPP, an : Reno, Nevada  
8 individual, :  
9 Defendant. :  
\_\_\_\_\_ :

10  
11  
12 TRANSCRIPT OF TELEPHONIC CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE  
13

14 APPEARANCES:

15 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: ALLISON L. LIBEU and  
STEPHEN RICHARDS  
16 Attorneys at Law  
Los Angeles, California  
17

18 FOR THE DEFENDANT: ROBERT D. MITCHELL  
Attorney at Law  
19 Phoenix, Arizona  
20

21  
22  
23 Transcribed by: Margaret E. Griener, CCR #3, FCRR  
Official Reporter  
24 400 South Virginia Street  
Reno, Nevada 89501  
25

1           RENO, NEVADA, WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 6, 2019, 9:01 A.M.

2                               ---o0o---

3  
4                   THE CLERK: This is the date set for telephonic  
5 case management conference in case 3:18-CV-0296-LRH-CBC,  
6 Tesla, Incorporated, versus Martin Tripp.

7                   Present telephonically on behalf of plaintiff,  
8 Allison Libeu and Stephen Richards. Present telephonically on  
9 behalf of defendant, Robert Mitchell.

10                  THE COURT: Good morning, everyone.

11                  We're here for our follow-up case management  
12 conference in Tesla versus Tripp.

13                  In preparation for today's hearing I have  
14 reviewed document number 54, which was the minutes from the  
15 last hearing on December 3rd, the stipulation for extension of  
16 discovery deadlines that was received which was at document  
17 number 62, as well as the joint management conference  
18 statement that was filed by the parties at docket number 63.

19                  One thing I wanted to note for everybody before  
20 I get started is I wanted to thank counsel. It's very  
21 apparent to me the professionalism and the cooperation of  
22 everyone in this case, and I know that it's probably fairly  
23 contentious, but from the Court's perspective from what I see  
24 in a lot of cases, I just want you all to know how much I  
25 appreciate how much work is going into this and working

1 cooperatively so I thank you all for that.

2 I just have a few things that I wanted to go  
3 over with everybody today because it looks like things are  
4 kind of plugging along just fine.

5 The first relates to depositions. As I can  
6 tell, they appear to be moving along at a normal pace, and I  
7 have absolutely no problem with the extension of time that's  
8 been requested to accommodate those, and I appreciate the  
9 resolution of the issue of Mr. Tripp's deposition which was a  
10 matter of issue I believe at our last hearing.

11 Do you believe -- I think my main question, and  
12 I'm going to start with plaintiff's counsel in this particular  
13 instance, my only concern is whether or not this will be a  
14 sufficient amount of time to get through all of the  
15 depositions. I believe you're asking until June of 2018.

16 So starting with you, Ms. Libeu, do you believe  
17 that this will be a sufficient amount of time to get through  
18 all of the depositions in this case?

19 MS. LIBEU: Thank you, your Honor.

20 We hope -- we think 90 days will be sufficient,  
21 but we, of course, don't want to be coming back in front of  
22 your Honor to request additional extensions. So if defendant  
23 would be amenable as well, we're happy to make that a longer  
24 period. We have no objection to that.

25 I know we -- we initially, I think, wanted the

1 short period, and I am happy to say that I was incorrect and  
2 optimistic in thinking we should get it all done in the time  
3 period that we had originally allotted.

4 So the 90 days is what we had come up with here  
5 as a -- what we thought would be sufficient. But to make sure  
6 we're not back in front of your Honor requesting another  
7 extension, I'd be happy to move it longer to 120 days or  
8 something along those lines.

9 THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask you, Mr. Mitchell,  
10 what are your thoughts with the amount time that's being  
11 requested?

12 MR. MITCHELL: Your Honor, we have no problem  
13 with the additional 90 days that the plaintiff's counsel  
14 requested. If they're suggesting 120 days, I don't really  
15 take exception to that either.

16 THE COURT: Okay. I guess my one concern is  
17 that we've already done two extensions, and so this -- well,  
18 actually, I'm not sure if we've done two, if this will just be  
19 the second, but one of the things that I'd really like to do  
20 is to make sure that we give everybody enough time that they  
21 need in their extensions rather than coming back, you know,  
22 time after time having to do additional extensions. I just  
23 prefer to allow the parties a sufficient amount of time to get  
24 what they need to get done.

25 I understand people's schedules are very busy,

1 especially in this case with so many attorneys and people in  
2 different locations and things of that nature.

3 So, you know, I guess -- I'm fine with 90 days,  
4 it doesn't bother me one way or the other, but I think I'm  
5 hearing from -- especially counsel for Tesla that I think  
6 120 days would likely be more realistic to really get this  
7 done. Is that what I'm hearing, Ms. Libeu?

8 MS. LIBEU: Yes, your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Okay. So this is what my suggestion  
10 would be -- and, Mr. Mitchell, you don't have any problem with  
11 that and you agree that 120 would likely be better?

12 I don't want to put words in your mouth, sir, I  
13 just want to make sure I'm hearing you correctly.

14 Mr. Mitchell?

15 MR. MITCHELL: Your Honor, if the agreement is  
16 that we extend it to 120 days and then we don't come back for  
17 additional requests, I have no problem with that.

18 THE COURT: Okay. And I guess that would be  
19 sort of my one caveat to this is that that if we go out  
20 120 days, I really do not -- I would really be displeased if  
21 you were back here again for an extension unless there's some  
22 extreme circumstances.

23 So with that, I think what I'll request the  
24 parties to do is to submit an updated stipulation using the  
25 120 days as the calculated date, and just resubmit that to me

1 with the corrected calculated dates, and I'll sign that once I  
2 receive it.

3 I think that would make more sense in this case.  
4 That was the one thing that sort of struck me when I reviewed  
5 the request in this particular matter.

6 Is there anything else from the plaintiff's  
7 perspective that I need to know about depositions or other  
8 issues related to any discovery matters that are going on in  
9 this case? And I'll start with you, Ms. Libeu.

10 MS. LIBEU: Nothing else from our side, your  
11 Honor. We think we're working very cooperatively with  
12 Mr. Mitchell and his colleagues so I thank them for that, and  
13 there's nothing else from our end.

14 THE COURT: Okay. Perfect.

15 Mr. Mitchell, is there anything else you would  
16 like to add, sir, for purposes of the record?

17 MR. MITCHELL: Yes, your Honor, just briefly to  
18 bring up an issue that I understand has come up in the prior  
19 case management conference which I wasn't in participation at.

20 But we had requested from Tesla's counsel to  
21 take Elon Musk's deposition. We feel that because of  
22 statements he's made, he has injected himself into the issues  
23 in this case.

24 We've tried to take some discovery to try to  
25 help minimize the need for that. We're also taking

1 depositions of other parties that we can try to get some  
2 answers from, but we do believe we will need to take  
3 Mr. Musk's deposition.

4 We're willing to go to wherever he is at. We  
5 want to make it as quick and inconvenient for him as possible.  
6 I'm a 30-year trial lawyer, I have no intention or desire to  
7 harass him in any respect. I recognize -- I recognize how  
8 busy he is. But we do need his deposition, and I don't think  
9 that's an issue that counsel have been able to sort out.

10 Our goal would be to try to schedule that, and  
11 we'll line it up within maybe 60 days from now after we've had  
12 a chance to complete a few other depositions and to receive  
13 back certain written discovery from Tesla that's outstanding.

14 But we probably are going to need the Court's  
15 assistance in making that deposition happen, and, again, my  
16 goal is to make it as totally convenient for Mr. Musk as  
17 possible.

18 THE COURT: Well, thank you, sir. That was  
19 actually the next issue on my list so I was actually getting  
20 ready to address that with you.

21 From my understanding of the case management  
22 conference statement is that Tesla still believes that there's  
23 some additional discovery that needs to be conducted and then  
24 the meet and confer should take place.

25 And based on what you have just stated to me, it

1 sounds like there are some -- a few additional things that  
2 still need to take place, at least some depositions and things  
3 like that before that deposition gets noticed.

4 It would be my suggestion, and this is what I  
5 would ask the parties to do, is to complete that additional  
6 discovery and then have a true meet and confer to see if there  
7 can't be some agreement on taking this deposition in terms of  
8 time and location and all of those things.

9 If the parties can't agree, my initial response,  
10 because there was actually pretty extensive -- I don't want to  
11 say briefing, but fairly extensive information provided to the  
12 Court in the last case management conference, that, in looking  
13 at this again, it's clear to me that with the additional  
14 discovery and some of the development of the facts of this  
15 case, I think if you're unable to reach a decision or  
16 agreement on taking Mr. Musk's deposition, then I will be  
17 requesting briefing because I will need to know that  
18 additional, you know, factual development that wasn't  
19 necessarily provided in that first case management document  
20 where this was outlined.

21 So that would be my position at this point. So,  
22 Ms. Libeu, do you have any objection to that process?

23 MS. LIBEU: No objection, your Honor. We think  
24 that makes sense.

25 THE COURT: Okay. And, Mr. Mitchell, is that



1 acceptable to you, sir?

2 MR. MITCHELL: Yes, yes, of course, but my goal  
3 was simply to alert the Court that that issue is still out  
4 there.

5 THE COURT: I appreciate that, and, like I said,  
6 that was one of the things that I had intended to bring up  
7 because it was raised in the case management report.

8 So that just to be clear for the record, so I  
9 would ask the parties to finish up whatever discovery that  
10 they need to do in anticipation of noticing Mr. Musk's  
11 deposition.

12 Once that's completed, I would ask that the  
13 parties meet and confer to try to resolve this particular  
14 issue and come to some sort of agreement as to Mr. Musk's  
15 deposition.

16 If, you know, at that point you're unable to  
17 agree, then I would go ahead and ask -- I would assume that it  
18 would be the plaintiff's counsel that would file a motion for  
19 protective order, but either way, whether it's a motion to  
20 compel, a motion for protective order, that is neither here  
21 nor there, but to go ahead with the normal briefing schedule.

22 One thing I will say is I will -- I would  
23 appreciate it if someone would alert the Court that it's being  
24 filed so that way I can keep my eye out for it, and I'll try  
25 to decide it as soon as possible.

1                   If I need to have any additional argument, I  
2 will do that, but it would be my expectation to rule on that  
3 as soon as the briefing is completed.

4                   So that will be the decision of the Court.

5                   The next issue that I had that I wanted to  
6 address with the parties has to do with the mental  
7 examination.

8                   It's my understanding from the case management  
9 report that Mr. Tripp may dismiss his counterclaim for  
10 intentional infliction of emotional distress, and that is what  
11 actually sort of triggers this mental examination, but my  
12 concern is that there was no definitive statement that that  
13 would happen and, if so, when.

14                  So I was hoping, Mr. Mitchell, if you could give  
15 me any more information. If you can't, I understand, sir, but  
16 I simply wanted to ask about the status of that and what the  
17 expectation is from Mr. Tripp's perspective.

18                  MR. MITCHELL: Understood, your Honor.

19                  We actually have been working out the terms of  
20 the stipulation for the dismissal, and that stipulation to  
21 dismiss was filed this morning.

22                  THE COURT: Oh, perfect. Well, I should have  
23 checked the docket before I walked out here. So I appreciate  
24 that. That takes several of my questions off of my list. So  
25 thank you very much.

1 MS. LIBEU: I believe it was filed while we were  
2 on this conference, your Honor.

3 THE COURT: Well, then, I feel better, that I  
4 wasn't dilatory in my preparation for today. I appreciate  
5 that, thank you.

6 The last thing that -- well, actually not, the  
7 second to last thing that I have is, from what I can tell from  
8 the case management conference, it appears that both parties  
9 are actually interested in setting this for a settlement  
10 conference.

11 Let me ask you, Ms. Libeu, is that the case?

12 MS. LIBEU: Yes, that's correct, and we would be  
13 interested in either a, you know, settlement conference with  
14 your assistance arranged by the Court, or private mediation.

15 That's something that we haven't actually  
16 discussed with defense counsel, but we're happy to meet and  
17 confer with them on dates, who the settlement officer or  
18 mediator would be, and, of course, if it's a settlement  
19 conference with your Honor's assistance, it would probably  
20 would rule out a private mediation. The location would be  
21 something we could discuss as well.

22 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Mitchell? Anything you  
23 would like to add, sir?

24 MR. MITCHELL: Your Honor, we'll say we're  
25 amenable to scheduling a settlement conference, but we don't

1 believe it would be successful until additional discovery is  
2 performed. And so what we suggest is setting in June or  
3 perhaps July, if the extension is now going to be 120 days,  
4 for the setting of a settlement conference.

5 THE COURT: So let me -- if that's the case,  
6 then are you anticipating having this settlement conference  
7 towards the end of the discovery time frame? Is that what  
8 you're suggesting, sir?

9 MR. MITCHELL: Yes, your Honor.

10 THE COURT: Okay. Well, let me start with this.

11 First off, settlements with the Court are free.  
12 To the extent that you have a private mediator, certainly  
13 that's going to be costs for the parties, so for whatever  
14 that's worth.

15 To the extent that we would do this towards the  
16 end of discovery, I would likely go ahead and handle this  
17 myself, because at that point there wouldn't -- I won't be  
18 involved in the substance of any motions to -- you know,  
19 motions for summary judgment or trial or anything of that  
20 nature, so I'm comfortable handling the settlement conference  
21 at that point.

22 Ms. Libeu, I guess with that in mind and what  
23 you just stated, since the mediation issue it sounds like  
24 hasn't really been discussed with opposing counsel at this  
25 point, what I'm going to ask the parties to do is within,

1 let's say, 30 days from today's date, if you would file a  
2 joint statement indicating whether or not you intend to  
3 proceed with scheduling a private mediation or whether you  
4 would ask the Court to set a settlement conference.

5 If you choose private mediation, that's fine, I  
6 won't take any action, but if you are requesting the Court to  
7 set a settlement conference, then what I will do is I'll have  
8 my courtroom deputy contact you, and we will set a date in  
9 late June or early July to do that.

10 Is that acceptable, Ms. Libeu?

11 MS. LIBEU: Yes, it is, your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Mitchell?

13 MR. MITCHELL: Of course.

14 THE COURT: Okay. With that, you know, like I  
15 said as I started out, I'm genuinely very pleased and very  
16 happy that things are moving along the way that they are and  
17 how cooperative everyone is being.

18 So my thought is, is that up to this point I've  
19 taken a fairly active oversight role in this case. I don't  
20 mind doing that on a continuing basis, but I also don't want  
21 to simply have hearings to have hearings or increase the costs  
22 of litigation unnecessarily.

23 So I would like to ask Ms. Libeu what your  
24 thoughts are with continuing case management conferences and  
25 whether you think that those would be helpful or useful, or

1 whether or not you'd just as soon we stop doing them unless  
2 there's some need to have a hearing.

3 MS. LIBEU: Your Honor, I think they're helpful,  
4 especially if we should get -- if there are some discovery  
5 issues or things, get your Honor's thoughts on them in a more  
6 formal and less costly manner.

7 I don't necessarily think we need to have them,  
8 given, you know, the amount of cooperation we've had, at least  
9 60 days as we have in the past, so it might make sense to have  
10 one in 90 days or maybe more than that in this case.

11 But I'm not particularly wedded to that number,  
12 but I do think they are helpful, but maybe just the frequency,  
13 we don't need as much.

14 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Mitchell, what are your  
15 thoughts, sir?

16 MR. MITCHELL: Another area of agreement. We  
17 are in total agreement with that.

18 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I like that plan. I  
19 think if we set it out 90 days, then if there's any -- you  
20 know, at that point if there's any issues that need to be  
21 addressed certainly with settlement or any discovery matters,  
22 we can catch them and move the case forward without any  
23 unnecessary delay. So that's what the plan will be.

24 Ms. Clerk, can you give us a date 90 days out  
25 from today for a follow-up status conference in this case.

1 THE CLERK: Yes, your Honor. Monday, May 6th,  
2 at 10:00 a.m.

3 THE COURT: And, you know, I think actually let  
4 me reconsider my last statement about settlement conference.

5 I think what we will do -- strike that request  
6 for filing a notice to let me know about mediation or  
7 settlement, and what the expectation will be is at that May  
8 hearing we can simply address that at that time because that  
9 will give us enough time to decide whether or not a settlement  
10 or mediation is what the parties are anticipating.

11 With that, is that date acceptable to you,  
12 Ms. Libeu?

13 MS. LIBEU: Yes, it is.

14 THE COURT: And Mr. Mitchell?

15 MR. MITCHELL: Yes.

16 THE COURT: Okay. Perfect.

17 The one last thing I will say is that in the  
18 meantime, because we are going to set this out a little bit  
19 further, if there is any discovery issue that comes up that  
20 needs to be addressed more immediately, I want the parties to  
21 feel comfortable filing a joint document that just simply  
22 states notice of discovery dispute or something like where the  
23 parties set out in a couple of pages their respective  
24 positions with whatever the issue is.

25 My hope is to do that in a way that will allow

1 us to avoid costly motion practice, especially if an emergency  
2 discovery issue arises so that way discovery isn't slowed down  
3 because of some sort of dispute that's going on that might  
4 impact the ability to take depositions or get information that  
5 you need in preparation for a deposition or something like  
6 that.

7 So if something does happen, I want the parties  
8 to -- that would be my preference, that you do that first  
9 before filing any motions, and if I can resolve it, I will.  
10 If I need to have a teleconference, I will set one, but if I  
11 think motions are required, I will go ahead and issue an order  
12 asking for motion practice.

13 Does that process make sense, Ms. Libeu?

14 MS. LIBEU: Yes, it does.

15 THE COURT: And Mr. Mitchell?

16 MR. MITCHELL: Yes, thank you.

17 THE COURT: And just so I'm clear on the record,  
18 I've noticed in a couple of cases I've said this, but it seems  
19 like the parties are hesitant to do that.

20 And I want everyone on the call to be aware I  
21 have no problem with people having disputes in discovery.  
22 That's expected. So we don't want the parties to have the  
23 sense that by bringing it to the Court's attention, you know,  
24 I'm going to be upset or that it's going to be some negative  
25 on anybody involved.



Please feel free to utilize that mechanism so that we can make sure the case moves along and that there isn't going to be any kind of issue from my perspective with that happening, and, in fact, I invite that. So for whatever that's worth, I wanted to make sure I put that on the record.

Is there anything else from the plaintiff that needs to be put on the record at this time?

MS. LIBEU: Nothing, your Honor, thank you.

THE COURT: And anything from the defense,  
Mr. Mitchell?

MR. MITCHELL: No, thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, thank you all again.

I'll just await that updated stipulation and -- on the extension of time, and I'll sign that as soon as I receive it.

And, with that, I wish you all a very good day,  
and we will be in recess.

MS. LIBEU: Thank you, your Honor.

-o0o-

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

/s/Margaret E. Griener 00/00/201 ^  
Margaret E. Griener, CCR #3, FCRR  
Official Reporter